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Overview

Background

- PSERS' liquidity analysis is performed under **Portfolios A, B, and C** from the 2020 asset-liability study
  - Intended as a stress-testing model, incorporating the profile of the liabilities as well as expected future contributions
  - Uses different scenarios for economic environments and other relevant events
  - Shows how the portfolio's liquidity profile could evolve with a given investment strategy

- We categorized investments by liquidity into five buckets
  - **Liquid (Risk-Reducing Assets):** less than 3 months needed for return of capital (e.g. publicly traded securities)
  - **Liquid (Return-Seeking Assets):** less than 3 months needed for return of capital (e.g. publicly traded securities)
  - **Quasi-Liquid:** Typical lock-up of 3–12 months. Conservatively, we assumed a 1-year lock-up in most economic environments, 2 years in a Recession scenario, and 3 years in a Black Skies scenario (e.g. many hedge funds, core real estate)
  - **Illiquid:** Potential lock-up of 5–10 years, depending on economic environment (e.g. closed-ended real estate)
  - **Illiquid:** Potential lock-up of 10+ years (e.g. typical private equity)

- This is intended to be a **conservative** approximation of the actual liquidity properties of the assets

- Not surprisingly, varying economic scenarios would lead PSERS’ percentage allocation to alternative assets to differ from its targets due to liquidity differences in asset classes
### Overview
Asset Allocation and Liquidity Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio Studied</th>
<th>(A) Current Target</th>
<th>(B) Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income &amp; Leverage</th>
<th>(C) Higher Return, Liquidity &amp; Leverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid (Risk-Reducing Assets + Financing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. / Non-U.S. Inflation Protection</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Core Fixed Income</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Long-Term Treasury</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cash + Financing</td>
<td>-12.0%</td>
<td>-26.0%</td>
<td>-18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid (Return-Seeking Assets)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equity</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Infrastructure</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REITs</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Yield Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Debt</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLPs</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedge Funds</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid 5-10 Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Real Estate</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Credit</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid 10+ Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Infrastructure</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Illiquid and Quasi-Liquid Assets</strong></td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview
Economic Scenarios

- **Base Case Scenario**
  - Markets perform consistent with Aon’s Capital Market Assumptions (~50th percentile)

- **Recession Scenario**
  - Somewhat pessimistic outlook for the markets (~95th percentile)
  - Return-seeking assets decline in the first two years with a modest rebound in later years.

- **Black Skies Scenario**
  - Very pessimistic outlook for markets (~99th percentile)
  - Return-seeking assets decline significantly
  - The value of public equities is cut in half over three years, without an immediate rebound
Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio A: Current Target (Full Actuarial Contributions)

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020
Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio A: Current Target (5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020

Proprietary & Confidential
Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc.
Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio A: Current Target (5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)
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Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(Full Actuarial Contributions)

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020
Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage (5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)
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Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020
Liquidity Analysis
Summary of Results | Portfolio C: Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage
(Full Actuarial Contributions)

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020
Conclusions

- **PSERS has sufficient liquidity in the modeled Base Case, Recession, and Black Skies scenarios across Portfolios A, B, and C assuming full actuarial contributions are received**
  - In pessimistic Black Skies scenarios, the asset allocation could drift far from its target allocation
    - Given the extension of the time horizon for the realization of the illiquid assets, there will not be enough liquidity even with the redemption of quasi-liquid assets to re-balance back to target
    - Under these scenarios, PSERS may want to pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations; in these cases, PSERS may be scaling back its alternative asset allocations at a time when the opportunity is greatest

- The impact of the change in leverage from Portfolio B to C is minimal for purposes of this liquidity analysis

- Lower contributions put more pressure on liquidity; in such scenarios, PSERS can look to manage the pace of future commitments

- This analysis is highly sensitive to the assumed contributions
  - If PSERS receives less contributions than assumed, especially in a Black Skies environment, then the potential liquidity issue could be worse than projected here
Appendix
Background
Aon Investments’ Approach to Analyzing Liquidity Risk from Alternatives

- Intended as a stress-testing model
- Develops multi-year projections of assets and spending needs
- Uses different scenarios for economic environments and other relevant events
- Shows how the portfolio’s liquidity profile could evolve with a given investment strategy
- Incorporates the profile of the liabilities as well as expected future contributions
Background
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Liquidity Profile
Background
Modeling Parameters – Degrees of Illiquidity

- We categorized investments by liquidity into five buckets
  - **Liquid (Risk-Reducing Assets):** less than 3 months needed for return of capital (e.g. publicly traded securities)
  - **Liquid (Return-Seeking Assets):** less than 3 months needed for return of capital (e.g. publicly traded securities)
  - **Quasi-Liquid:** Typical lock-up of 3–12 months. Conservatively, we assumed a 1-year lock-up in most economic environments, 2 years in a Recession scenario, and 3 years in a Black Skies scenario (e.g. many hedge funds, core real estate)
  - **Illiquid:** Potential lock-up of 5–10 years, depending on economic environment (e.g. closed-ended real estate)
  - **Illiquid:** Potential lock-up of 10+ years (e.g. typical private equity)

- This is intended to be a *conservative* approximation of the actual liquidity properties of the assets

- We started with the target asset allocations, then see how the actual allocations would change in different economic scenarios, continuing new commitments to private assets, as expected.

Assumptions
- Asset-liability information based on the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation report
- Preliminary asset experience through June 30, 2020 was included and this represents our starting point of the liquidity analysis
- The plan’s contribution policy is determined in accordance with the methodology used by the plan actuary
- Assumes the portfolio starts at the target asset allocation levels for illiquid assets, maintaining close to the portfolio targets over the next 10 years
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

**Key Takeaway:**
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to stay near 44% of the Plan and can be maintained near the target with no cash flow problems.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Base Case Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio A: Current Target (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation in a Base Case scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario
Portfolio A: Current Target (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaways:
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 57% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (44% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio A: Current Target (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation in a Recession scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>43%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46%</strong></td>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>48%</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>57%</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>55%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53%</strong></td>
<td><strong>52%</strong></td>
<td><strong>51%</strong></td>
<td><strong>51%</strong></td>
<td><strong>51%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario  
Portfolio A: Current Target (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

- **Key Takeaways:**
  - Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets cause the total portfolio to shrink.
  - Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 71% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
  - In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (44% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation in a Black Skies scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

- **Key Takeaways:**
  - Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 49% of the Plan in this scenario.
  - There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
  - PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (44% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Base Case Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio A: Current Target (5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Base Case scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

**Key Takeaways:**
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 62% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (44% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Recession scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liquid</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Quasi + Illiquid</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario
Portfolio A: Current Target (5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaways:
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 85% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (44% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>33%</strong></td>
<td><strong>23%</strong></td>
<td><strong>18%</strong></td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>18%</strong></td>
<td><strong>20%</strong></td>
<td><strong>24%</strong></td>
<td><strong>25%</strong></td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53%</strong></td>
<td><strong>67%</strong></td>
<td><strong>77%</strong></td>
<td><strong>82%</strong></td>
<td><strong>85%</strong></td>
<td><strong>82%</strong></td>
<td><strong>80%</strong></td>
<td><strong>76%</strong></td>
<td><strong>75%</strong></td>
<td><strong>72%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

- **Key Takeaways:**
  - Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 57% of the Plan in this scenario.
  - There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
  - PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (44% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Base Case scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

- **Key Takeaways:**
  - Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
  - Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 78% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
  - In this Recession scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (44% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Recession scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>48%</strong></td>
<td><strong>37%</strong></td>
<td><strong>33%</strong></td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
<td><strong>22%</strong></td>
<td><strong>25%</strong></td>
<td><strong>29%</strong></td>
<td><strong>32%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
<td><strong>36%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>52%</strong></td>
<td><strong>63%</strong></td>
<td><strong>67%</strong></td>
<td><strong>72%</strong></td>
<td><strong>78%</strong></td>
<td><strong>75%</strong></td>
<td><strong>71%</strong></td>
<td><strong>68%</strong></td>
<td><strong>65%</strong></td>
<td><strong>64%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaways:
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 100% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (44% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Current Target allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46%</strong></td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
<td><strong>9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54%</strong></td>
<td><strong>72%</strong></td>
<td><strong>90%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>97%</strong></td>
<td><strong>91%</strong></td>
<td><strong>85%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaway:
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to stay near 38% of the Plan and can be maintained near the target with no cash flow problems.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation in a Base Case scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaways:
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 51% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (38% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation in a Recession scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

**Key Takeaways:**
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 66% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (38% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation in a Black Skies scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

**Key Takeaways:**
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 42% of the Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (38% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Base Case Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Base Case scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaways:
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 56% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (38% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Recession scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>55%</strong></td>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46%</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46%</strong></td>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54%</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53%</strong></td>
<td><strong>51%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage (5 Years of 80% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

![Graph showing liquidity analysis over 10 years]

**Key Takeaways:**
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 80% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (38% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 80% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liquid</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Quasi + Illiquid</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Key Takeaways:
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 49% of the Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (38% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Base Case Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Base Case scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage (5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

![Chart showing liquidity lock-up over years](chart.png)

**Key Takeaways:**
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 72% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Recession scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (38% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage (5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Recession scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage
(5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.

Key Takeaways:
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets combined with lower contributions cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 100% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (38% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio B: Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage (5 Years of 50% Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Highest Return, Liquidity, Fixed Income & Leverage allocation and 50% of the Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution in a Black Skies scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liquid</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Quasi + Illiquid</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding
Liquidity Analysis: Base Case Economic Scenario
Portfolio C: Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage allocation in the Base Case economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

- **Key Takeaway:**
  - Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to stay near 38% of the Plan and can be maintained near the target with no cash flow problems.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage allocation in a Base Case scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage allocation in the Recession economic scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

**Key Takeaways:**
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but recessionary markets cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 50% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- There would not be a concern with the ability to pay benefits.
- PSERS may need to redeem some quasi-liquid assets to stay close to its target allocation (38% illiquid assets).

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
Liquidity Analysis: Recession Economic Scenario (continued)
Portfolio C: Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage allocation in a Recession scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>56%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>51%</strong></td>
<td><strong>52%</strong></td>
<td><strong>52%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54%</strong></td>
<td><strong>55%</strong></td>
<td><strong>55%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
<td><strong>48%</strong></td>
<td><strong>48%</strong></td>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
Liquidity Analysis: Black Skies Economic Scenario
Portfolio C: Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage (Assuming Full Actuarial Contributions)

- The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage allocation in a Black Skies scenario, assuming commitments are continued as expected.

**Key Takeaways:**
- Commitments to illiquid alternatives are maintained at the steady state level, but subpar markets cause the total portfolio to shrink.
- Total illiquid and quasi-liquid assets are projected to reach as high as 65% of the Plan due to the shrinking market value of the total Plan in this scenario.
- In this Black Skies scenario, PSERS may want to redeem some quasi-liquid assets and pare back future commitments to stay closer to the target allocations (38% illiquid assets); however, the allocation would still be significantly different from target.

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020.
The exhibit below shows the projected liquidity lock-up of the Higher Return, Liquidity & Leverage allocation in a Black Skies scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Allocation</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Reducing Assets</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Return-Seeking</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
<td><strong>55%</strong></td>
<td><strong>43%</strong></td>
<td><strong>36%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
<td><strong>36%</strong></td>
<td><strong>36%</strong></td>
<td><strong>37%</strong></td>
<td><strong>39%</strong></td>
<td><strong>39%</strong></td>
<td><strong>40%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Liquid</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 5-10 Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquid: 10+ Year Lock-up</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Quasi + Illiquid</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>45%</strong></td>
<td><strong>57%</strong></td>
<td><strong>64%</strong></td>
<td><strong>65%</strong></td>
<td><strong>64%</strong></td>
<td><strong>64%</strong></td>
<td><strong>63%</strong></td>
<td><strong>61%</strong></td>
<td><strong>61%</strong></td>
<td><strong>60%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Year 0 represents a starting point of June 30, 2020; Percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
World events unfold in a fashion consistent with our Capital Market Assumptions and yields are based on median ESGs

- Yield and bond return series reflect our average estimates. These estimates represent our benchmark view.
- The pace of US economic growth moderates over the first few years, growing below its long-term trend growth rate. The moderation reflects the fading of previous fiscal stimulus and increased bilateral tariffs between the US and China.
- In later years, the US economy strengthens, eventually growing in line with the long-term trend growth rate, supported by accommodative monetary policy.
- Consumer price inflation, measured by the Consumer Price Index, remains modestly above 2% over the next five years, supported by global growth prospects.
- Government and corporate bond yields gradually rise. Robust profit margins sustain stable corporate spreads.
- Risk asset returns are in line with our long term assumptions.

Returns from 31 March 2020
Source: Aon
The opinions referenced are as of the date of publication and are subject to change due to changes in the market or economic conditions and may not necessarily come to pass. Information contained herein is for informational purposes only and should not be considered investment advice.
## Base Case Scenario Data Table

### BASE CASE SCENARIO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Yields (BOY)
- **Treasury yield 5y**
  - 0.5%
  - 0.6%
  - 0.6%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.8%
  - 0.8%
  - 0.9%
  - 0.9%
  - 0.8%
  - 0.7%
- **Long Treasury yield 15y**
  - 1.1%
  - 1.1%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.3%
  - 1.3%
  - 1.4%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.5%
- **TIPS yield 5y**
  - 0.1%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.4%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.3%
  - -0.2%
  - 0.2%
- **Long TIPS yield 15y**
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.0%
  - 0.1%
  - 0.2%
  - 0.3%
  - 0.3%
  - 0.4%
  - 0.5%
  - 0.5%
  - 0.5%
- **Breakeven price inflation 15y**
  - 1.0%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.1%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.1%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
- **A Corporate bond yield 5y**
  - 2.8%
  - 2.6%
  - 2.6%
  - 2.5%
  - 2.5%
  - 2.5%
  - 2.5%
  - 2.4%
  - 2.3%
  - 2.2%
  - 2.2%
- **Long A Corporate bond yield 10y**
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.0%
  - 3.0%
  - 3.0%
  - 3.0%
  - 3.0%
- **A Corporate spread 5y**
  - 2.3%
  - 2.1%
  - 1.9%
  - 1.8%
  - 1.8%
  - 1.8%
  - 1.7%
  - 1.6%
  - 1.6%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.5%
- **Long A Corporate spread 10y**
  - 2.3%
  - 2.2%
  - 2.2%
  - 2.1%
  - 2.1%
  - 2.1%
  - 2.0%
  - 1.9%
  - 1.9%
  - 1.8%
  - 1.8%

#### Expected nominal return on assets
- **Equity - US**
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.4%
- **Equity - Global**
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
  - 7.2%
- **A Corporate bonds 5y**
  - 4.4%
  - 4.0%
  - 4.0%
  - 3.7%
  - 3.4%
  - 2.8%
  - 3.0%
  - 2.7%
  - 3.1%
  - 2.9%
  - 2.9%
- **Long A Corporate bonds 10y**
  - 3.6%
  - 3.6%
  - 4.0%
  - 4.0%
  - 3.7%
  - 3.7%
  - 3.7%
  - 3.7%
  - 3.4%
  - 3.9%
  - 3.6%
- **Treasury 5y**
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.1%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.2%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.7%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.2%
- **Long Treasury 15y**
  - 0.7%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.7%
  - 1.0%
  - 0.7%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.0%
  - 1.4%
  - 1.8%
  - 1.8%
- **TIPS 5y**
  - 3.1%
  - 1.9%
  - 1.5%
  - 2.2%
  - 2.5%
  - 1.9%
  - 1.9%
  - 1.7%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.5%
- **Long TIPS 15y**
  - 2.5%
  - 1.2%
  - 0.4%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.9%
  - 1.7%
  - 1.6%
  - 1.5%
  - 1.3%
  - 1.2%
  - 1.2%
- **US High Yield**
  - 6.5%
  - 5.8%
  - 5.8%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.3%
  - 5.0%
  - 4.7%
  - 5.1%
  - 4.9%
  - 4.6%
  - 4.6%
- **Bank Loans**
  - 5.4%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.5%
  - 5.5%
  - 5.5%
  - 5.5%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.5%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.6%
- **USD Emerging Market Debt**
  - 7.4%
  - 6.6%
  - 6.4%
  - 6.3%
  - 5.8%
  - 5.5%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.6%
  - 5.6%
- **Local Emerging Market Debt**
  - 5.0%
  - 4.9%
  - 5.0%
  - 4.8%
  - 4.5%
  - 4.5%
  - 4.4%
  - 4.4%
  - 4.3%
  - 4.3%
  - 4.6%
- **Real Estate**
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
  - 5.2%
- **Commodities**
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
  - 3.1%
- **Hedge Funds - FoHF - Universe**
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
  - 3.2%
- **Private Equity**
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
  - 9.2%
- **Infrastructure - US**
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
  - 8.1%
- **Cash**
  - 0.2%
  - 0.1%
  - 0.3%
  - 0.6%
  - 0.4%
  - 0.3%
  - 0.3%
  - 0.6%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.7%
  - 0.7%
- **CPI**
  - 1.3%
  - 1.9%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%
  - 2.0%

Scenario information as of March 31, 2020

Proprietary & Confidential
Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc.
Recession Scenario

Description

The US economy slips back into recession in 2020

- Global growth is much slower than under the base case scenario.
- The US experiences a deep recession in 2020, due to subdued global growth.
- Inflation turns slightly negative in 2020. However, the period of deflation is short lived and inflation starts to rise in later years as an economic recovery begins to establish itself.
- Treasury yields fall while TIPS yields remain at low levels as the US enters recession. Yields rise in later years as a recovery gets underway.
- Corporate spreads rise significantly due to the poor economic situation and increased risks of downgrades or defaults.
- Most risk assets make losses in the first two years but rebound in later years as the economy recovers.
### RECESSION SCENARIO

#### Yields (BOY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treasury yield 5y</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Treasury yield 15y</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPS yield 5y</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long TIPS yield 15y</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakeven price inflation 15y</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Corporate bond yield 5y</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long A Corporate bond yield 10y</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Corporate spread 5y</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long A Corporate spread 10y</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Expected nominal return on assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Equity - US</th>
<th>Equity - Global</th>
<th>A Corporate bonds 5y</th>
<th>Long A Corporate bonds 10y</th>
<th>Treasury 5y</th>
<th>Long Treasury 15y</th>
<th>TIPS 5y</th>
<th>Long TIPS 15y</th>
<th>US High Yield</th>
<th>Bank Loans</th>
<th>USD Emerging Market Debt</th>
<th>Local Emerging Market Debt</th>
<th>Real Estate</th>
<th>Commodities</th>
<th>Hedge Funds - FoHF - Universe</th>
<th>Private Equity</th>
<th>Infrastructure - US</th>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>CPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-18.0%</td>
<td>-11.5%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-5.5%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>-11.2%</td>
<td>-4.7%</td>
<td>-12.7%</td>
<td>-17.4%</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
<td>-29.2%</td>
<td>-14.8%</td>
<td>-18.0%</td>
<td>-4.6%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10.0%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenario information as of March 31, 2020
Black Skies Scenario
Description

A deep recession followed by a longer period of stagnant growth

- A confluence of factors, including falling oil prices, economic weakness in developed and emerging market economies and severe levels of financial distress (due to high debt levels and political crisis) lead to a global recession followed by stagnation.
- The US experiences a protracted deep recession.
- Inflation is pushed into negative territory in 2020 and remains there in 2021, while continued sluggish growth over the following years means that inflation stays close to zero.
- Treasury yields fall and remain at low levels as the US enters recession.
- Corporate spreads rise significantly due to the poor economic situation and increased risks of downgrades or defaults.
- Risk assets make losses in the first few years. There is no pronounced bounce in growth and the economic situation remains poor for a long time, which weighs on returns in later years.

Returns from 31 March 2020
Source: Aon
The opinions referenced are as of the date of publication and are subject to change due to changes in the market or economic conditions and may not necessarily come to pass. Information contained herein is for informational purposes only and should not be considered investment advice.
## BLACK SKIES SCENARIO

### Yields (BOY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treasury yield 5y</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Treasury yield 15y</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPS yield 5y</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long TIPS yield 15y</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakeven price inflation 15y</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Corporate bond yield 5y</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long A Corporate bond yield 10y</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Corporate spread 5y</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long A Corporate spread 10y</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expected nominal return on assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity - US</td>
<td>-28.8%</td>
<td>-20.8%</td>
<td>-11.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity - Global</td>
<td>-31.6%</td>
<td>-22.9%</td>
<td>-12.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Corporate bonds 5y</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long A Corporate bonds 10y</td>
<td>-9.8%</td>
<td>-5.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury 5y</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Treasury 15y</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
<td>-3.1%</td>
<td>-2.6%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPS 5y</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long TIPS 15y</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>-2.9%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US High Yield</td>
<td>-25.6%</td>
<td>-19.0%</td>
<td>-10.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Loans</td>
<td>-15.1%</td>
<td>-10.3%</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD Emerging Market Debt</td>
<td>-20.9%</td>
<td>-14.9%</td>
<td>-7.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Emerging Market Debt</td>
<td>-26.5%</td>
<td>-19.1%</td>
<td>-10.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>-15.7%</td>
<td>-11.1%</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>-38.3%</td>
<td>-29.9%</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedge Funds - FoHF - Universe</td>
<td>-18.7%</td>
<td>-13.2%</td>
<td>-7.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>-31.8%</td>
<td>-22.9%</td>
<td>-11.7%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure - US</td>
<td>-12.4%</td>
<td>-7.9%</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenario information as of March 31, 2020
About This Material

This material includes a summary of calculations and consulting related to the finances of Pennsylvania Public School Employees’ Retirement System (PSERS). The following variables have been addressed:

- Contributions
- Funded Ratio
- Net Outflow

This analysis is intended to assist the Investment Committee with a review of the associated issues and options, and its use may not be appropriate for other purposes. This analysis has been prepared solely for the benefit of the Investment Committee. Any further dissemination of this report is not allowed without the written consent of Aon Investments USA Inc.

Our calculations were generally based on the methodologies identified in the actuary’s valuation report for PSERS. We believe the methodology used in these calculations conforms to the applicable standards identified in the report.

Models are used to develop alternative scenarios based on the underlying valuation model and project financial results under those scenarios. The models were developed by experts outside and within Aon. Where outside models were used, the models were reviewed by experts within Aon. The models were selected as appropriate for these projections by the undersigned.

Experience different than anticipated could have a material impact on the ultimate costs of the benefits. In addition, changes in plan provisions or applicable laws could have a significant impact on cost. Actual experience may differ from our modeling assumptions.

Our calculations were based on data provided by the plan actuary. The actuarial assumptions and methods and plan provisions reflected in these projections are the same as those used for the 2019 actuarial valuation for PSERS as noted in the actuarial reports, except where noted in this report. Unless specifically noted, our calculations do not reflect any other changes or events after June 30, 2019. Reflecting events after June 30, 2019 would impact the results of the projection.

In conducting these projections, we have relied on plan design, demographic and financial information provided by other parties, including the plan’s actuary and plan sponsor. While we cannot verify the accuracy of all of the information, the supplied information was reviewed for consistency and reasonableness. As a result of this review, we have no reason to doubt the substantial accuracy or completeness of the information and believe that it has produced appropriate results.

These projections have been conducted in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, including applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice as issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. The undersigned actuary is familiar with the near-term and long-term aspects of pension valuations and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. All sections of this report are considered an integral part of the actuarial opinions.

To our knowledge, no colleague of Aon Investments USA Inc. providing services to PSERS has any direct financial interest or indirect material interest in PSERS. Thus, we believe there is no relationship existing that might affect our capacity to prepare and certify this report for PSERS.

Aon Investments USA Inc.

Phil Kivarkis FSA, CFA
Legal Disclosures and Disclaimers

Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc. ("Aon Investments"). The information contained herein is given as of the date hereof and does not purport to give information as of any other date. The delivery at any time shall not, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been a change in the information set forth herein since the date hereof or any obligation to update or provide amendments hereto.

This document is not intended to provide, and shall not be relied upon for, accounting, legal or tax advice. Any accounting, legal, or taxation position described in this presentation is a general statement and shall only be used as a guide. It does not constitute accounting, legal, and tax advice and is based on Aon Investments’ understanding of current laws and interpretation.

Aon Investments disclaims any legal liability to any person or organization for loss or damage caused by or resulting from any reliance placed on that content. Aon Investments reserves all rights to the content of this document. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted by any means without the express written consent of Aon Investments.

Aon Investments USA Inc. is a federally registered investment advisor with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Aon Investments is also registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor, and is a member of the National Futures Association. The Aon Investments ADV Form Part 2A disclosure statement is available upon written request to:

Aon Investments USA Inc.
200 E. Randolph Street
Suite 700
Chicago, IL 60601
ATTN: Aon Investments Compliance Officer
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